

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/746,305	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WILEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher A. Revak	<b>Art Unit</b> 2131	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** response after non-final

 (1) Christopher A. Revak.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Brad Williams.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 August 2005
**Time:** 1:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101 non statutory subject matter

Claims discussed:

21,54


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to correct a 101 issue with claims 21 and 54. The examiner proposed language to overcome the 101 rejection and the applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 8/30/05  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)